

HIGHER DIMENSIONAL DEDEKIND SUMS IN FUNCTION FIELDS

ABDELMEJID BAYAD AND YOSHINORI HAMAHATA

ABSTRACT. We introduce new kinds of Dedekind sums in function fields. Our Dedekind sums are very similar to ordinary Dedekind sums and to higher dimensional ones in the classical case. The reciprocity law, rationality and characterization of the Dedekind sums are discussed.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A -lattices	3
3. Higher dimensional Dedekind sums	4
4. Example	5
4.1. Power sums of a -division points	5
4.2. Higher dimensional Dedekind sums	6
4.3. Inhomogeneous Dedekind sums	8
5. Proofs of the theorems	8
6. Rationality	10
7. Characterization of lower dimensional Dedekind sums	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Given relatively prime rational integers $c > 0$ and a , the classical Dedekind sums is defined as

$$s(a, c) = \frac{1}{4c} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi k}{c}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi ka}{c}\right).$$

It satisfies a famous relation called the *reciprocity law*

$$s(a, c) + s(c, a) = \frac{a^2 + c^2 + 1 - 3ac}{12ac} \quad (a > 0).$$

Date: February 24, 2011.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11H20, Secondary 11G09.

Key words and phrases. Dedekind sums, function fields.

See Rademacher-Grosswald [8] for details. A generalization of Dedekind sums to higher dimensions was presented by Zagier [9]. Let p be a positive integer, and a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} be integers prime to p . We assume that n is odd. Zagier defines a higher dimensional Dedekind sum as follows:

$$d(p; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) := (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi k a_1}{p}\right) \cdots \cot\left(\frac{\pi k a_{n-1}}{p}\right).$$

For pairwise coprime positive integers a_1, \dots, a_n (n odd), this sum satisfies the reciprocity law

$$\sum_{j=1}^n d(a_j; a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n) = 1 - \frac{l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{a_1 \cdots a_n},$$

where $l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is the polynomial in a_1, \dots, a_n defined as the coefficient of t^n in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j t}{\tanh(a_j t)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} a_j^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{45} a_j^4 t^4 + \frac{2}{945} a_j^6 t^6 - \cdots \right).$$

We note that Beck [1] generalized Zagier's Dedekind sum.

It is known that $\pi \cot \pi z$ can be expressed as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \pi \cot \pi z = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z-n} + \frac{1}{z+n} \right).$$

In function fields, we have periodic functions that have expressions analogous to (1.1). Based on this, Okada [7] introduced Dedekind sums in rational function fields, and established reciprocity laws for them. For each A -lattice, we can define Dedekind sums, which yield a generalization of those of Okada. See [6] for details. It should be noted that we have Dedekind sums over finite fields ([5], [6]). These are like Apostol-Dedekind sums given by

$$s_n(a, c) = \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \frac{k}{c} \overline{B}_n \left(\frac{ka}{c} \right),$$

where $\overline{B}_n(x)$ denotes the n th Bernoulli function.

The goal of our paper is to introduce new kinds of Dedekind sums defined over rational function fields. Our Dedekind sums are very similar to ordinary Dedekind sums and to Zagier's higher dimensional Dedekind sums [9]. As the main theorem, we establish the reciprocity law for our Dedekind sums. The rationality and characterization of Dedekind sums are also discussed.

Notation.

\sum' : the sum over non-vanishing elements

\prod' : the product over non-vanishing elements
 \mathbb{F}_q : the finite field with q elements
 $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$: the ring of polynomials in an indeterminate T
 $K = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$: the quotient field of A
 $||$: the normalized absolute value on K such that $|T| = q$
 $\overline{K_\infty}$: the completion of K with respect to $||$
 $\overline{K_\infty}$: a fixed algebraic extension of K_∞
 C : the completion of $\overline{K_\infty}$

2. A -LATTICES

In this section, we give an overview of A -lattices and related periodic functions. For details, see Goss [4]. A rank r A -lattice Λ in C is a finitely generated A -submodule of rank r in C that is discrete in the topology of C . For such an A -lattice Λ , define the Euler product

$$e_\Lambda(z) = z \prod'_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right).$$

The product converges uniformly on bounded sets in C , and defines a map $e_\Lambda : C \rightarrow C$. The map e_Λ has the following properties:

- e_Λ is entire in the rigid analytic sense, and surjective;
- e_Λ is \mathbb{F}_q -linear and Λ -periodic;
- e_Λ has simple zeros at the points of Λ , and no other zeros;
- $de_\Lambda(z)/dz = e'_\Lambda(z) = 1$. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{e_\Lambda(z)} = \frac{e'_\Lambda(z)}{e_\Lambda(z)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{z - \lambda}.$$

Let ϕ be the Drinfeld module corresponding to Λ . For any $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $\phi[a] := \{x \in C \mid \phi_a(x) = 0\}$ the A/aA -module of a -division points. It is known that $\Lambda/a\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $\phi[a]$ by $\lambda + a\Lambda \mapsto e_\Lambda(\lambda/a)$. Put

$$E_k(\phi[a]) := \sum'_{x \in \phi[a]} \frac{1}{x^k} = \sum'_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a\Lambda} \frac{1}{e_\Lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{a}\right)^k}$$

for each positive integer k , and we use the convention $E_0(\phi[a]) = -1$. We adopt the convention that $\sum'_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a\Lambda}$ is zero when $\Lambda/a\Lambda = \{0\}$. Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{az}{\phi_a(z)} &= \frac{\phi'_a(z)}{\phi_a(z)} z \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a\Lambda} \frac{z}{z - e_\Lambda(\frac{\lambda}{a})} \\
&= 1 - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a\Lambda} ' \frac{\frac{z}{e_\Lambda(\lambda/a)}}{1 - \frac{z}{e_\Lambda(\lambda/a)}} \\
(2.1) \quad &= - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k(\phi[a]) z^k.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, then $E_k(\phi[a]) = 0$ for any positive integer k , and $az/\phi_a(z) = 1$.

3. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL DEDEKIND SUMS

Let Λ be an A -lattice. We introduce Dedekind sums for Λ . Assume $n \geq 2$. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A \setminus \{0\}$ be prime to $a_n \in A \setminus \{0\}$. In other words, if $i \neq n$, then $Aa_i + Aa_n = A$. Then

Definition 3.1. The *higher dimensional Dedekind sum* is defined as

$$s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a_n\Lambda} ' e_\Lambda \left(\frac{a_1 \lambda}{a_n} \right)^{-1} \cdots e_\Lambda \left(\frac{a_{n-1} \lambda}{a_n} \right)^{-1}.$$

Remark 3.2. (i) When $\Lambda/a\Lambda = \{0\}$, $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a\Lambda} '$ is zero.

(ii) In the cases $(n, q) = (2, 2), (3, 3)$, our Dedekind sum coincides with one of Dedekind sums introduced in [6]. In particular, if $\Lambda = L$ is the A -lattice corresponding to the Carlitz module, then this Dedekind sum is as defined in Okada [7].

The Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ has similar properties to those of Zagier's Dedekind sum:

Proposition 3.3. (i) $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ only depends on $a_i + a_n A$,
(ii) $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is symmetric in a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} ,
(iii) $s_\Lambda(a_n; \zeta a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \zeta^{-1} s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$,
(iv) $s_\Lambda(a_n; ba_1, \dots, ba_{n-1}) = s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for any $b \in A$ prime to a_n .

The proof is trivial, so we omit it.

Remark 3.4. By Proposition 3.3 (ii), (iii), and (iv), we have

$$(-1)^{n-1} s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

Hence, if $\text{Char } \mathbb{F}_q \neq 2$ and $2|n$, then the sum is equal to zero. Therefore in the case $\text{Char } \mathbb{F}_q \neq 2$, we may suppose in advance that n is odd.

We now state the reciprocity law for our higher dimensional Dedekind sums.

Theorem 3.5 (Reciprocity law). *Choose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \setminus \{0\}$. If a_1, \dots, a_n are coprime, then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_{\Lambda}(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_n} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_n = n-1 \\ i_1 \geq 0, \dots, i_n \geq 0}} E_{i_1}(\phi[a_1]) \cdots E_{i_n}(\phi[a_n]).$$

Remark 3.6. We note that for $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 1$, $a_n \in A \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$s_{\Lambda}(a_n; \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{a_n} E_{n-1}(\phi[a_n]).$$

Let a, c be the coprime elements of $A \setminus \{0\}$, and let Λ denote an A -lattice in C . The *inhomogeneous Dedekind sum* $s_{\Lambda}(a, c)$ is defined as

$$s_{\Lambda}(a, c) = s_{\Lambda}(c; a, 1) = \frac{1}{c} \sum'_{\lambda \in \Lambda/c\Lambda} e_{\Lambda} \left(\frac{a\lambda}{c} \right)^{-1} e_{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda}{c} \right)^{-1}.$$

The Dedekind sum $s_{\Lambda}(a, c)$ has the following reciprocity law:

Theorem 3.7 (Reciprocity law). *If a, c are coprime, then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad s_{\Lambda}(a, c) + s_{\Lambda}(c, a) = \frac{E_2(\phi[a]) + E_2(\phi[c]) - E_1(\phi[a])E_1(\phi[c])}{ac}.$$

4. EXAMPLE

We compute Dedekind sums for special cases. To do this, let us prepare some results.

4.1. Power sums of a -division points. We recall the Newton formula for the power sums of the zeros of a given polynomial.

Proposition 4.1 (The Newton formula cf. [2], [3]). *Let*

$$f(X) = X^n + c_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1} X + c_n$$

be a polynomial over a field L , and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the roots of $f(X)$. For each non-negative integer k , put

$$T_k = \alpha_1^k + \dots + \alpha_n^k.$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} T_k + c_1 T_{k-1} + \cdots + c_{k-1} T_1 + k c_k &= 0 \quad (k \leq n), \\ T_k + c_1 T_{k-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} T_{k-n+1} + c_n T_{k-n} &= 0 \quad (k \geq n). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let ϕ be a Drinfeld module, and a be a fixed element in $A \setminus \{0\}$. If*

$$\phi_a(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m l_i(a) z^{q^i},$$

then

$$E_k(\phi[a]) = \begin{cases} \frac{l_1(a)}{a} & (k = q-1) \\ 0 & (k = 1, \dots, q-2 \text{ if } q > 2) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. The set $\{1/x \mid x \in \phi[a] \setminus \{0\}\}$ is the roots of

$$a^{-1} \phi_a(z^{-1}) z^{q^m} = \sum_{i=0}^m a^{-1} l_i(a) z^{q^m - q^i}.$$

Applying the Newton formula to this polynomial, we have

$$E_{q-1}(\phi[a]) + (q-1) \frac{l_1(a)}{a} = 0, \quad E_k(\phi[a]) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, q-2).$$

□

4.2. Higher dimensional Dedekind sums. Let Λ be an A -lattice, and ϕ be the corresponding Drinfeld module.

We give explicit formulas for certain higher dimensional Dedekind sums.

Proposition 4.3. *If $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$ are coprime, then*

$$\begin{aligned} s_\Lambda(b; \overbrace{a, \dots, a}^{n-1}) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{b} E_{n-1}(\phi[b]) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} l_1(b)}{b^2} & (n = q) \\ 0 & (n = 1, \dots, q-1) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} s_\Lambda(b; \overbrace{a, \dots, a}^{n-1}) &= s_\Lambda(b; \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-1}) \quad (\text{by Proposition 3.3 (iv)}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{b} E_{n-1}(\phi[b]) \quad (\text{by definition of } E_{n-1}(\phi[b])) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} l_1(b)}{b^2} & (n = q) \\ 0 & (n = 1, \dots, q-1) \end{cases} \quad (\text{by Proposition 4.2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary to Theorem 3.5, we have

Proposition 4.4. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_q \in A \setminus \{0\}$. If a_1, \dots, a_q are coprime, then we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^q s_{\Lambda}(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_q) = \frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{a_1 \cdots a_q} \left(\frac{l_1(a_1)}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{l_1(a_q)}{a_q} \right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.2, the left-hand side of the identity is written as

$$\frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{a_1 \cdots a_q} (E_{q-1}(\phi[a_1]) + \cdots + E_{q-1}(\phi[a_q])),$$

which yields the right-hand side by Proposition 4.2. \square

We supply a few examples of the reciprocity law for higher dimensional Dedekind sums.

Case 1. $q = 2$.

$$s_{\Lambda}(a_1; a_2) + s_{\Lambda}(a_2; a_1) = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} \left(\frac{l_1(a_1)}{a_1} + \frac{l_1(a_2)}{a_2} \right).$$

Case 2. $q = 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{\Lambda}(a_1; a_2) + s_{\Lambda}(a_2; a_1) = 0, \\ & s_{\Lambda}(a_3; a_1, a_2) + s_{\Lambda}(a_2; a_1, a_3) + s_{\Lambda}(a_1; a_2, a_3) \\ (4.1) \quad & = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 a_3} \left(\frac{l_1(a_1)}{a_1} + \frac{l_1(a_2)}{a_2} + \frac{l_1(a_3)}{a_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $3 \leq q$, $2 \leq n < q$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_{\Lambda}(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

Let L be the A -lattice corresponding to the Carlitz module ρ defined by $\rho_T(z) = Tz + z^q$. As is mentioned in Goss [4],

$$(4.2) \quad l_1(a) = (a^q - a)/(T^q - T).$$

Then (4.2) yields the following specialized examples, which are those given by Okada in [7].

Case 1. $q = 2$.

$$s_L(a_1; a_2) + s_L(a_2; a_1) = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1 a_2 (T^2 - T)}.$$

Case 2. $q = 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} & s_L(a_1; a_2) + s_L(a_2; a_1) = 0, \\ (4.3) \quad & s_L(a_3; a_1, a_2) + s_L(a_2; a_1, a_3) + s_L(a_1; a_2, a_3) = \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2}{a_1 a_2 a_3 (T^3 - T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $3 \leq q, 2 \leq n < q$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_L(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

4.3. Inhomogeneous Dedekind sums. Let a, c be the coprime elements of $A \setminus \{0\}$, and Λ be an A -lattice.

In the case $q = 3$, by (4.1), we have

$$s_\Lambda(a, c) + s_\Lambda(c, a) = \frac{1}{ac} \left(\frac{l_1(a)}{a} + \frac{l_1(c)}{c} \right).$$

Moreover assuming that Λ is the A -lattice L associated with the Carlitz module, by (4.3), we obtain

$$s_L(a, c) + s_L(c, a) = \frac{a^2 + c^2 + 1}{ac(T^3 - T)}.$$

5. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 3.5.

Let ϕ be the Drinfeld module corresponding to Λ . Let us consider the rational function

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\phi_{a_1}(z) \cdots \phi_{a_n}(z)}.$$

By assumption on a_1, \dots, a_n , we have $\phi[a_i] \cap \phi[a_j] = \{0\}$ if $i \neq j$. This implies that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi[a_i] = \{0\}$ or $F(z)$ has a simple pole at any non-zero element of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi[a_i]$. When a_i is not a unit, for any non-zero element $c \in \phi[a_i]$, there exists a unique element $\lambda + a_i \Lambda \in \Lambda/a_i \Lambda$ such that $x = e_\Lambda(\lambda/a_i)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_x(F(z)dz) &= \text{Res}_x \left(\frac{dz}{\phi_{a_i}(z)} \right) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\phi_{a_j}(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_i} \prod_{j \neq i} e_\Lambda \left(\frac{a_j \lambda}{a_i} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This contributes $s_\Lambda(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$. When a_i is a unit, $\phi[a_i] = 0$. Hence $s_\Lambda(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ does not appear in the right-hand side of (3.1). In other words, it is zero. To compute the left-hand side of Theorem 3.5, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G(z)$ be a polynomial over a field L of degree > 1 , and R be the set of all roots of $G(z)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a \in R} \text{Res}_a \left(\frac{1}{G(z)} dz \right) = 0.$$

Proof. The partial fraction decomposition of $1/G(z)$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{a \in R} \sum_{n=1}^{\text{ord}(a)} \frac{C_{a,n}}{(z-a)^n},$$

where $\text{ord}(a)$ is the order of a , and $C_{a,n}$ the coefficient of $(z-a)^{-n}$. Then for any $a \in R$, we have $\text{Res}_a(1/G(z)) = C_{a,1}$. It is easy to see that $1/G(z)$ can be rewritten as follows.

$$\frac{1}{G(z)} = \frac{(\sum_{a \in R} C_{a,1}) z^{m-1}}{G(z)} + \frac{\text{a polynomial in } z \text{ with degree less than } m-1}{G(z)},$$

where m is the degree of the polynomial $G(z)$. Hence,

$$1 = \left(\sum_{a \in R} C_{a,1} \right) z^{m-1} + \text{a polynomial in } z \text{ with degree less than } m-1.$$

However since $m-1 > 0$, we can easily obtain $\sum_{a \in R} C_{a,1} = 0$. \square

The set of all poles of $F(z)$ is $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi[a_i]$. By the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n s_{\Lambda}(a_i; a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) + \text{Res}_0(F(z)dz) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda/a_i \Lambda} ' \text{Res}_{e_{\Lambda}(\lambda/a_i)}(F(z)dz) + \text{Res}_0(F(z)dz) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), it follows that

$$\text{Res}_0(F(z)dz) = \frac{(-1)^n}{a_1 \cdots a_n} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = n-1} E_{i_1}(\phi[a_1]) \cdots E_{i_n}(\phi[a_n]).$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.7.

By the reciprocity law (3.1),

$$(5.1) \quad s_{\Lambda}(c; a, 1) + s_{\Lambda}(1; a, c) + s_{\Lambda}(a; c, 1) = \frac{1}{ac} \sum_{i+j+k=2} E_i(\phi[a]) E_j(\phi[c]) E_k(\phi[1]).$$

Since $s_{\Lambda}(1; a, c) = 0$, $E_0(\phi[a]) = E_0(\phi[c]) = E_0(\phi[1]) = -1$ and $E_1(\phi[1]) = E_2(\phi[1]) = 0$, (5.1) yields the reciprocity law (3.2).

6. RATIONALITY

In this section we suppose that the Drinfeld module ϕ associated with Λ is defined over K .

Proposition 6.1. *The higher dimensional Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is rational, that is, $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in K$. In particular, the inhomogeneous Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(a, c)$ is rational.*

Proof. We know that each $e_\Lambda(\lambda/a_n)$ is a root of $\phi_{a_n}(z)$ defined over K , and $e_\Lambda(a_i \lambda/a_n) = \phi_{a_i}(e_\Lambda(\lambda/a_n))$ for each i . Hence $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is rewritten as

$$(6.1) \quad s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_n} \sum'_{x \in \phi[a_n]} \frac{1}{\phi_{a_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{a_{n-1}}(x)}.$$

It is invariant under the action of all elements of $\text{Gal}(K(\phi[a_n])/K)$. The proposition follows from it. \square

Remark 6.2. If $\phi_T(z)$ is given by

$$\phi_T(z) = Tz + l_1(T)z^q + \cdots + l_r(T)z^{q^r},$$

then $\phi_{a_1}(z), \dots, \phi_{a_n}(z) \in K(l_1(T), \dots, l_r(T))[z]$. By (6.1), it is easy to verify

$$s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in K(l_1(T), \dots, l_r(T)).$$

However, $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is not always rational. For instance, when $l_1(T) \notin K$, by Proposition 4.3

$$s_\Lambda(T; \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{q-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{q-1} l_1(T)}{T^2} \notin K.$$

7. CHARACTERIZATION OF LOWER DIMENSIONAL DEDEKIND SUMS

As mentioned in Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, the higher dimensional Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ has the following properties:

- (1) $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ only depends on $a_i + a_n A$,
- (2) $s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is symmetric in a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} ,
- (3) $s_\Lambda(a_n; \zeta a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \zeta^{-1} s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$,
- (4) $s_\Lambda(a_n; b a_1, \dots, b a_{n-1}) = s_\Lambda(a_n; a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for any $b \in A$ prime to a_n ,
- (5) the reciprocity law.

The following is an analog of the result of Zagier's higher dimensional Dedekind sums.

Proposition 7.1. (i) *The one dimensional Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(b; a)$ is determined by the conditions (1)–(5).*

- (ii) *The two dimensional Dedekind sum $s_\Lambda(c; a, b)$ is determined by the conditions (1)—(5).*

Proof. (ii) By (4), we have the form $s_\Lambda(c; a, 1)$ for a certain $b' \in A$ with $b'b \equiv 1 \pmod{a_n}$. One can suppose $\deg a < \deg c$ by (1). The reciprocity law (5) guarantees interchanging of the role of a and c . Using the Euclidean algorithm, finally, we have the form $s_\Lambda(1; a, 1) = 0$.

- (i) The proof is similar to the case (ii). □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second named author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 20540026), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beck, Dedekind cotangent sums, *Acta Arith.* **109** (2003), 109-130.
- [2] E.-U. Gekeler, On the coefficients of Drinfeld modules, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 667-700.
- [3] D. Goss, The algebraist's upper half-planes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **2** (1980), 391-415.
- [4] D. Goss, *Basic Structures of Function Fields Arithmetic*, Springer, 1998.
- [5] Y. Hamahata, Finite Dedekind sums, In: *Arithmetic of Finite Fields*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **5130**, pp. 11-18, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [6] Y. Hamahata, Dedekind sums in finite characteristic, *Proc. Japan Academy* **84**, Ser. A (2008), 89-92.
- [7] S. Okada, Analogies of Dedekind sums in function fields. *J. Number Theory* **130** (2010), 1750-1762.
- [8] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald, *Dedekind Sums*, The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- [9] D. Zagier, Higher dimensional Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149-172.

(Abdelmejid Bayad) DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ D'ÉVRY VAL D'ESSONNE, BD. F. MITTERRAND, 91025 ÉVRY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: abayad@maths.univ-evry.fr

(Yoshinori Hamahata) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: yoshinorihamahata@gmail.com