

High-Regularity Invariant Measures for Euler Equations and Growth of the Solutions

July 29, 2025

Euler Equations, $d \in \{2, 3\}$

$u(t) : \mathbb{T}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $p(t) : \mathbb{T}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u &= -\nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d). \end{cases}$$

Leray projector: $\mathbf{P} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2_{div}$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + B(u, u) &= 0 \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in H^s_{div}(\mathbb{T}^d). \end{cases}$$

with $B(u, v) := \mathbf{P}(u \cdot \nabla v)$.

$$-\Delta p = \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u).$$

Deterministic results ($d = 2$)

Global well-posedness in H^s holds for large s .

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 = -(B(u, u), u)_{H^s}.$$

Modulo lower order error terms:

$$\begin{aligned} (B(u, u), u)_{H^s} &\sim (\nabla^s(u \cdot \nabla u), \nabla^s u)_{L^2} \\ &\sim (u \cdot \nabla \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u)_{L^2} + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Property of B : $(B(u, v), v)_{L^2} = 0$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \underbrace{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}}_? \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2.$$

With interpolation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^p} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{2+\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Deterministic results ($d = 2$)

Remark: $\Omega(t) = \nabla \wedge u(t)$ satisfies a transport equation

$$\partial_t \Omega + u \cdot \nabla \Omega = 0.$$

Calderón-Zygmund: $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim p \|\Omega(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim p \|\Omega_0\|_{L^p}.$

With $y(t) := \|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ we get:

$$y'(t) \leq C p y(t)^{1+\frac{2}{p}} \leq C y(t) \log y(t),$$

in the end we obtain $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C e^{C e^{C t}}.$

Theorem (Matching lower bounds)

(Kiselev-Šverak) *In a disc, double exponential growth can occur.*

(Zlatoš) *Best result on the torus: exponential growth on arbitrary long time.*

Conjecture. Almost-surely double exponential growth does not occur.

Main result

Flow ϕ_t of a PDE: $u(t) = \phi_t u_0$. μ is invariant on H^s if for any measurable sets $A \subset H^s$, $\mu(\phi_{-t}A) = \mu(A)$ that is:

$$\mathbb{P}(u(t) \in A) = \mathbb{P}(u_0 \in A).$$

Theorem

For $s > 2$ ($d = 2$) and $s > 7/2$ ($d = 3$) there exists measure μ_s on H^s , invariant under the flow of the Euler equation and such that:

- ① *Almost sure global well-posedness holds on the support of the measure. (Thm 5.2/5.5)*
- ② *Growth estimates of the form $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{\alpha(s)}$. (Thm. 5.9/5.11)*

Similar results in other settings : Sy (on NLS), Sy-Flödes (on SQG).

Invariant measure as a tool of globalisation

- ① **Invariant measure + LWP $\implies$ slowly growing global solutions.**
- ② Construction of invariant measures.
- ③ Properties of such measures.

Growth of Sobolev Norms with Invariant Measures

Assumptions.

- ① μ is invariant on H^s ;
- ② $\mu(\|u\|_{H^s} > \lambda) \lesssim e^{-c\lambda^2}$;
- ③ Good LWP theory: if $\|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \lambda$ then a solution exists on $[0, \tau]$, $\tau \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^K}$ and $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq 2\lambda$ for $t \leq \tau$.

Proposition

Then for μ -almost every $u_0 \in H^s$ there exists a global solution u such that:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(t).$$

Growth of Sobolev Norms with Invariant Measures

Assumptions. Really flexible, except (3).

- ① $\mu(\phi_{-t}A) \leq \mu(A)$ "quasi-invariance".
- ② $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^s}^2] < \infty$, hence $\mu(\|u\|_{H^s} > \lambda) \lesssim \lambda^{-2}$.
- ③ Good LWP theory: if $\|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \lambda$ then a solution exists on $[0, \tau]$, $\tau \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^K}$ and $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq 2\lambda$ for $t \leq \tau$.

Proposition (Section 5 (if $d = 2$ a global flow is already known))

Then for μ -almost every $u_0 \in H^s$ there exists a global solution u such that:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim F(t).$$

Conservation Laws and Invariant Measures

For which systems is it easy to construct invariant measures?

In finite dimension. A good class of equations: Hamiltonian equations.
Let:

$$\partial_t p = -\partial_q H(p, q) \quad \partial_t q = \partial_p H(p, q),$$

Then $d\mu = \underbrace{Z^{-1}}_{\text{Renorm. Cst.}} e^{-H(p,q)} dp dq$ is invariant, because:

- 1 H is conserved by the flow.
- 2 $dp dq$ is conserved (Liouville).

Conservation Laws and Invariant Measures

Two-dimensional case. Let $\Omega(x) = \sum_n \Omega_n e^{in \cdot x}$:

- ① Conservation law for Euler in $2d$: $E = \|\Omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_n |\Omega_n|^2$.
- ② Guessing invariant measure:

$$d\mu(u) = C e^{-\sum_n \Omega_n^2} \prod_n d\Omega_n$$

- ③ μ is the law of the random variable:

$$\Omega^\omega(x) = \sum_n g_n(\omega) e^{in \cdot x}.$$

- ④ Issue: $\text{supp}(\mu) \sim \{\Omega \in H^{-1-}\} \sim \{u \in H^{0-}\}$. (See Flandoli).

Hyper-dissipative method (1)

Hyper-dissipative stochastic regularization (HVE_ν^2) and (HVE_ν^3)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\nu + B(u_\nu, u_\nu) + \nu L u_\nu &= \sqrt{\nu} \eta \\ u_\nu(0) &= u_0 \in H_{\text{div}}^s(\mathbb{T}^d), \end{cases}$$

with $L = (-\Delta)^{1+\delta}$ and $\eta = \partial_t \xi$ with:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_n \phi_n \beta_n(t) e^{in \cdot x},$$

white in time ($\beta_n \sim$ brownian), smooth in space.

$$\sum |n|^{2k} |\phi_n|^2 =: \mathcal{B}_k.$$

Stochastic Navier-Stokes $\rightarrow \delta = 0$.

Scaling balance between νL and $\sqrt{\nu} \eta$

Hyper-dissipative method (2)

Follwing Kuksin:

- 1 Construct invariant measures μ_ν to the approximate equations

$$\partial_t u_\nu + B(u_\nu, u_\nu) + \nu L u_\nu = \sqrt{\nu} \eta,$$

using a Krylov-Bogolyubov argument. (Section

- 2 Prove uniform estimates for the μ_ν .
- 3 Pass to the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

Hyper-viscous method (2)

Follwing Kuksin:

- 1 Construct invariant measures μ_ν to the approximate equations

$$\partial_t u_\nu + B(u_\nu, u_\nu) + \nu L u_\nu = \sqrt{\nu} \eta,$$

using a Krylov-Bogolyubov argument.

Classical μ_ν invariant in H^1 : Section 3 for solutions, Section 4.1/4.2

- 2 Prove uniform estimates for the μ_ν .

Crucial step: Proposition 5.3/5.4

- 3 Pass to the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

Easy once one has uniform estimate: Section 4.3

Proving uniform estimates: Itô formula

$$u_\nu(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (-\nu Lu_\nu - B(u_\nu, u_\nu)) dt' + \sqrt{\nu} \sum_n \phi_n \beta_n(t) e_n,$$

then for any functional F :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[F(u_\nu(t))] - \mathbb{E}[F(u_0)] &= -\nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[F'(u_\nu; Lu_\nu)] dt' \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[F'(u_\nu; B(u_\nu, u_\nu))] dt' \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 \mathbb{E}[F''(u; e_n, e_n)] dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Dimension 2

Itô formula to $F(u) = \|u\|_{H^1}^2$ gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|u_\nu(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 \right] = \int_0^t ((I) + (II)) \, dt',$$

where (I) is the deterministic contribution:

$$(I) := -\mathbb{E} \left[(B(u_\nu, u_\nu), u_\nu)_{H^1} + \nu(Lu_\nu, u_\nu)_{H^1} \right],$$

and (II) is the Itô correction: $(II) := \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_n |n|^2 |\phi_n|^2 =: \frac{\nu}{2} \mathcal{B}_1$.

- ① Algebraic cancellation: $(B(u, u), u)_{H^1} = 0$. (Dimension 2 used here!)
- ② $\nu(Lu, u)_{H^1} = \nu \|u\|_{H^{2+\delta/2}}^2$.
- ③ Measure invariance $\mathbb{E} \left[\|u_\nu(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 \right]$.

Dimension 2 (Cont.)

From this:

$$\int_0^t \left(\nu \mathbb{E}[\|u_\nu(t')\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] - \nu \frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{2} \right) dt' = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (B(u_\nu, u_\nu), u_\nu)_{H^1} dt' = 0,$$

thus

$$\mathbb{E} [\|u_\nu(t)\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{2} \text{ independently of } \nu.$$

Obtained:

- Measures μ_ν , invariant for $(HVE)_\nu$.
- Uniform estimate $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{2}$.
- $2 + \delta > 2$: local theory of the form $\tau_{LWP} \sim \lambda^{-K}$ is available.

Possible to pass to the limit.

Summary (dimension 2)

Obtained:

- Measure μ , invariant for the Euler equations.
- $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{2}$, in particular $\mu(H^{2+\delta}) = 1$.
- Almost-surely $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{\alpha(s)}$ (C.f. Bourgain's globalisation argument).

Dimension 3 case

- Key algebraic cancellation: only in L^2 , $(B(u, v), v)_{L^2} = 0$: Itô to $F(u) = \|u\|_{L^2}^2$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2}.$$

- Leads to invariant measure μ , $\mu(H^{1+\delta}) = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2}$.
 $1 + \delta > \frac{3}{2} + 1$
- Further approximation problem: $\mu = \mu_N$ for approximate equation (truncation in low frequencies)

$$\partial_t u_N + B_N(u_N, u_N) = 0,$$

$$u_N(0) = \mathbf{P}_{\leq N} u_0 \text{ and } B_N(u, v) = \mathbf{P}_{\leq N} B(u, v).$$

- Again uniform estimate $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}] \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2}$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Is it finished?

- ① Invariant measure + LWP $\implies$ slowly growing global solutions. ✓
- ② Construction of invariant measures in H^s , $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$. ✓
 - $\mu(H^{2+\delta}) = 1$ ✓
 - $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] < \infty$ ✓
 - $2 + \delta > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ ✓
- ③ **Properties of such measures. Question: what does μ look like?**

Properties of the invariant measures: *partial* answer

Proposition ($d = 2$ Thm 5.4, see Section 6)

The measure μ constructed satisfies:

$$\mu(u, \|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma) \lesssim |\Gamma|,$$

in particular μ does not possess any atom.

Proposition ($d = 3$ Thm. 5.6, see Section 6)

The measure μ constructed satisfies the following alternative:

- ① μ does not have any atom, and for $\text{dist}(\Gamma, 0) > \varepsilon$,

$$\mu(u, \|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma) \lesssim_{\varepsilon} |\Gamma|.$$

- ② $\mu = \delta_0$

What precludes the $\mu = \delta_0$ case in dimension 2?

- Algebraic miracle $(B(u, u), u)_{L^2} = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2}$.
- Algebraic miracle $(B(u, u), u)_{H^1} = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{2}$.
- Weak convergence $\mu_\nu \rightarrow \mu$ in $H^{2+\delta-\varepsilon}$.

Expect that $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] = \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2}$, precluding δ_0 .

Only have $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}} \leq R}] \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}} \leq R}]$.

Proposition (Prop 5.6 (iv))

The correct limiting statement is:

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^1}^2] \geq C(\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \delta) > 0.$$

What precludes the $\mu = \delta_0$ case in dimension 2?

Proposition (Prop 5.6 (iv))

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\|u\|_{H^1}^2] \geq C(\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \delta) > 0.$$

Proof.

- By Itô + H^1 algebraic miracle: $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[e^{c\|u\|_{H^1}^2} \right] \leq C < \infty$.
- Implies $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^1}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{H^1} > R}] \leq R^{-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^1}^4] \leq CR^{-2}$.

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^1}^2] &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^1}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{H^1} > R}] \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\|u\|_{H^1}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{H^1} > R}] = \mathbb{E}_\mu [\|u\|_{H^1}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Last step: } \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^1}^2]^{1-\theta} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2]^\theta}_{=\mathcal{B}_1/2}.$$

Proof of no other atom than 0

Let Γ be such that $\text{dist}(\Gamma, 0) > r$. Reduces to:

Proposition (Prop. 5.9)

There holds: $\mu_\nu(u, \|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma) \leq C(r)|\Gamma|$.

Probability detour. For processes $y(t) = y_0 + \int_0^t x(s) \, ds + \sum_n \phi_n \beta_n(t)$, there exists a "local time" field $\Lambda_t^\omega(a)$ such that:

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{2} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_\Gamma(y(t')) \, dt' = \int_\Gamma \Lambda_t^\omega(a) \, da.$$

- $\int_\Gamma \mathbb{E}[\Lambda_t(a)] \, da = \frac{t\mathcal{B}_0}{2} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_\Gamma(y_0)]$.
- $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_t(a)] = -t\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{[a,\infty)}(y_0)x_0]$ (From Itô formula)

Proof of no other atom than 0 (end)

Uniform control on $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu}[\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}}^2]$ imply:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\Gamma}(\|u\|_{L^2}) \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 \right] \lesssim |\Gamma| \text{ where } u_n = (u, e_n)_{L^2}.$$

Goal: lower bound on $\sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2$.

Remark: let $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{v, \|v\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } \|v\|_{H^{1+\delta}} \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}$, then for $u \in \Omega_\varepsilon^c$:

$$\sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 \geq \kappa(\varepsilon).$$

Let $\varepsilon < r$ so that $\{\|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma\} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon \subset \{\|u\|_{H^{1+\delta}} > \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}$,

$$\mu_\nu(\{\|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma\} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\nu(\|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma) &= \mu_\nu(\{\|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma\} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon) + \mu_\nu(\{\|u\|_{L^2} \in \Gamma\} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon^c) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon + \kappa(\varepsilon)^{-1} |\Gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

μ_ν construction: Krylov-Bogolyubov method (1/2)

Given $\lambda_t = \mathcal{L}(u_\nu(t))$ where $\mathcal{L}(u_0) = \delta_0$, existence of the invariant measure comes if:

- 1 The measure $\bar{\lambda}_t := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \lambda_{t'} dt'$ are uniformly tight in H^1 .
- 2 Some continuity of the trajectories (this is OK).

Local and global theory Use $e^{-tL} : H^s \rightarrow H^{s+2(1+\delta)}$.

$u_\nu(t) = (\text{Linear evol. of } \xi(t)) + (\text{Smoother Deterministic Term})$

- Subcritical space for $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1 - 2(1 + \delta) = -2\delta$: we have LWP in H^1 .
- Global theory: apply deterministic arguments, works since Navier-Stokes is globally well-posed in H^1 in dimension 2.

Krylov-Bogolyubov method (2/2)

(1) By compactness of $H^1 \rightarrow H^{2+\delta}$, reduces to:

$$\sup_{t>0} \bar{\lambda}_t(u, \|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}} > R) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}_t(u, \|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}} > R) &\leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{P}(\|u_\nu(t')\|_{H^{2+\delta}} > R) dt' \\ &\leq \frac{C}{tR^2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [\|u_\nu(t')\|_{H^{2+\delta}}^2] dt' \end{aligned}$$

Itô formula:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|u_\nu(t)\|_{H^1}^2] - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2]}_{=0} + \nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\|u_\nu(t')\|_{H^1}^2] dt' = \nu \mathcal{B}_1 t,$$

this gives

$$\bar{\lambda}_t(\|u\|_{H^{2+\delta}} > R) \leq \frac{C}{R^2}.$$

No concentration around zero (1/4)

Remark. (1) results from the fact that the measures μ_ν do not possess any atom and the uniform estimate

$$\mu_\nu(0 < \|u\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

What *should* be used in the proof:

- ❶ Invariance of the measure μ ;
- ❷ Some properties of the bilinear form $B(u, u) = \mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla u$;
- ❸ The high regularity support of the measure.

Better to estimate $\mathbb{P}(a \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon$, by writing:

$$\mathbb{P}(0 < \|u\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\beta \mathbb{P}(a < \|u\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon) da,$$

hence we need:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon\beta} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\beta \mathbf{1}_{(a,\varepsilon)}(\|u\|_{L^2}) da \lesssim 1.$$

No concentration around zero (2/4)

Starting point:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon\beta} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\beta \mathbf{1}_{(a,\varepsilon)}(\|u\|_{L^2}) \, da \lesssim \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\beta \frac{\mathbf{1}_{(a,\varepsilon)}(\|u\|_{L^2})}{\|u\|_{L^2}} \, da.$$

Tool. Local time for martingales and Itô formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\int_0^\beta \mathbf{1}_{(a,\infty)}(\|u\|_{L^2}^2) A(u) \, da \right] \\ + \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\mathbf{1}_{(0,\beta)}(g(\|u\|_{L^2}^2)) (g'(\|u\|_{L^2}^2) |u_n|^2) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$A(u) := g'(\|u\|_{L^2}^2) \left(\frac{\beta_0}{2} - \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + g''(\|u\|_{L^2}^2) \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2$ Properties $B(u, u)$ and invariance is used.

No concentration around zero (3/4)

Take $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\int_0^\beta \mathbf{1}_{(a,\infty)}(\|u\|_{L^2}^2) A(u) \, da \right] \leq 0,$$

that is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\int_0^\beta \frac{\mathbf{1}_{(a,\infty)}(\|u\|_{L^2}^2)}{\|u\|_{L^2}^3} \left(\mathcal{B}_0 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 \right) da \right] \\ \leq \int_0^\beta \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \right] da. \end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{B}_0 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 \gtrsim \|u\|_{L^2}^2$;
- High regularity: $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} [\|u\|_{H^2}] \lesssim 1.$$

No concentration around zero (4/4)

We summarize:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\beta \mathbf{1}_{(a,\varepsilon)}(\|u\|_{L^2}) \, da &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \int_0^\beta \frac{\mathbf{1}_{(a,\varepsilon)}(\|u\|_{L^2})}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \, da \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\int_0^\beta \frac{\mathbf{1}_{(a,\infty)}(\|u\|_{L^2})}{\|u\|_{L^2}^3} \left(\mathcal{B}_0 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 \right) \, da \right] \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\beta \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\nu} \left[\frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \right] \, da \\ &\lesssim \beta. \end{aligned}$$