

Lecture 7: Computation of Greeks

Ahmed Kebaier

kebaier@math.univ-paris13.fr

HEC, Paris

Outline

- 1 The log-likelihood approach

Motivation

- The pathwise method requires some **restrictive regularity assumptions on the payoff function** of the option price, at least one time differentiable. This is not the case of some particular payoffs such as the **digital options** with a payoff function

$$h_T = h(X_T^x) \quad \text{where} \quad h(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq K\}}.$$

- The Greek Δ of such option **cannot be evaluated using the pathwise method.**
- Note also that for the same arguments we cannot use the pathwise method to evaluate the Greek payoff Γ of a given vanilla Call option.

General idea

- Let us assume that the family of random variables $(X(\theta))$ indexed by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ (Θ an open set of \mathbb{R}), admits positive density function $p(\theta, y)$.

General idea

- Let us assume that the family of random variables $(X(\theta))$ indexed by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ (Θ an open set of \mathbb{R}), admits positive density function $p(\theta, y)$.

$$f(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)p(\theta, y)dy.$$

General idea

- Let us assume that the family of random variables $(X(\theta))$ indexed by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ (Θ an open set of \mathbb{R}), admits positive density function $p(\theta, y)$.

$$f(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)p(\theta, y)dy.$$

Theorem 1

Assume that the density function $p(\theta, y)$ taking values in $r \Theta \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

- $\theta \mapsto p(\theta, y)$ is differentiable on Θ almost every where*

General idea

- Let us assume that the family of random variables $(X(\theta))$ indexed by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ (Θ an open set of \mathbb{R}), admits positive density function $p(\theta, y)$.

$$f(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)p(\theta, y)dy.$$

Theorem 1

Assume that the density function $p(\theta, y)$ taking values in $r \Theta \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

- $\theta \mapsto p(\theta, y)$ is differentiable on Θ almost every where*
- $\exists g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a measurable function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)g(y)dy < \infty$ and $\forall \theta \in \Theta \quad |\partial_{\theta} p(\theta, y)| \leq g(y)$,*

General idea

- Let us assume that the family of random variables $(X(\theta))$ indexed by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ (Θ an open set of \mathbb{R}), admits positive density function $p(\theta, y)$.

$$f(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)p(\theta, y)dy.$$

Theorem 1

Assume that the density function $p(\theta, y)$ taking values in $r \Theta \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

- $\theta \mapsto p(\theta, y)$ is differentiable on Θ almost every where
- $\exists g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a measurable function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)g(y)dy < \infty$ and $\forall \theta \in \Theta \quad |\partial_{\theta} p(\theta, y)| \leq g(y)$, Then

$$\forall \theta \in \Theta, \quad f'(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left(\phi(X(\theta)) \frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta}(\theta, X(\theta)) \right)$$

Proof.

- According to assumptions i) and ii) we have

$$f'(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E} \phi(X(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta, y) dy.$$

- Rewriting the above expression we get

$$f'(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta, y)}{p(\theta, y)} p(\theta, y) dy = \mathbb{E} \left(\phi(X(\theta)) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta, X(\theta))}{p(\theta, X(\theta))} \right),$$

which completes the proof.

Black Scholes Delta

- Recall that the Black-Scholes model with parameters r, σ, T is given by the explicit solution

$$S_T = S_0 \exp \left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}G \right), \quad \text{where } G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

- Consequently, for any given measurable function we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f(S_T) &= \mathbb{E}f \left(S_0 \exp \left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}G \right) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \left(S_0 \exp \left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}y \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{y^2}{2} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Black Scholes Delta

- Using the following change of variable

$$u = S_0 \exp\left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}y\right) \quad \text{with}$$

$$du = \sigma\sqrt{T}S_0 \exp\left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}y\right) dy = u\sigma\sqrt{T} dy$$

and $y = \zeta(u)$ where $\zeta(u) = (\log(u/S_0) - (r - \sigma^2/2)T) / \sigma\sqrt{T}$

Black Scholes Delta

- Using the following change of variable

$$u = S_0 \exp\left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}y\right) \quad \text{with}$$

$$du = \sigma\sqrt{T}S_0 \exp\left((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}y\right) dy = u\sigma\sqrt{T} dy$$

and $y = \zeta(u)$ where $\zeta(u) = (\log(u/S_0) - (r - \sigma^2/2)T) / \sigma\sqrt{T}$

- we get

$$\mathbb{E}f(S_T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \frac{1}{u\sigma\sqrt{T}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta(u)^2}{2}\right) du.$$

Black Scholes Delta

- Therefore we deduce that the density of the random variable S_T is given by

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{T}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta(x)^2}{2}\right).$$

Black Scholes Delta

- Therefore we deduce that the density of the random variable S_T is given by

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{T}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta(x)^2}{2}\right).$$

- Then

$$\frac{\partial \log g(x)}{\partial S_0} = \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial S_0}(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{\log(x/S_0) - (r - \sigma^2/2)T}{S_0\sigma^2 T}.$$

Black Scholes Delta

Hence, according to the above theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial S_0} e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}(S_T - K)_+ \\ &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E} \left((S_T - K)_+ \frac{\log(S_T/S_0) - (r - \sigma^2/2)T}{S_0 \sigma^2 T} \right) \\ &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E} \left((S_T - K)_+ \frac{G}{S_0 \sigma \sqrt{T}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Example: Path-dependent deltas

- Let us consider the case of an Asian option. Since the associated payoff involves the vector $(S_{t_1}, S_{t_2}, \dots, S_{t_n})$

Example: Path-dependent deltas

- Let us consider the case of an Asian option. Since the associated payoff involves the vector $(S_{t_1}, S_{t_2}, \dots, S_{t_n})$
- we need to **make explicit the joint density of this vector** if we aim to apply the log-likelihood ratio method for the computation of sensitivities associated to the Asian option price.

Example: Path-dependent deltas

- Let us consider the case of an Asian option. Since the associated payoff involves the vector $(S_{t_1}, S_{t_2}, \dots, S_{t_n})$
- we need to **make explicit the joint density of this vector** if we aim to apply the log-likelihood ratio method for the computation of sensitivities associated to the Asian option price.
- Using the Markovian property of the Brownian motion we can rewrite the density associated to the above vector as follows

$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_1(x_1|S_0)g_2(x_2|x_1) \cdots g_n(x_n|x_{n-1})$, with

$$g_j(x_j|x_{j-1}) = \frac{1}{x_j \sigma \sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}} \varphi(\zeta_j(x_j|x_{j-1})), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right)$$

Example: Path-dependent deltas

$$\zeta_j(x_j|x_{j-1}) = \frac{\log(x_j/x_{j-1}) - (r - \sigma^2/2)(t_j - t_{j-1})}{\sigma\sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}}.$$

Example: Path-dependent deltas

$$\zeta_j(x_j|x_{j-1}) = \frac{\log(x_j/x_{j-1}) - (r - \sigma^2/2)(t_j - t_{j-1})}{\sigma\sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}}.$$

- Consequently,

$$\frac{\partial \log g}{\partial S_0}(S_{t_1}, \dots, S_{t_n}) = \frac{\partial \log g_1}{\partial S_0}(S_{t_1}|S_0) = \frac{G_1}{S_0\sigma\sqrt{t_1}},$$

where G_1 is the same Gaussian used for the simulation of S_{t_1} .

Example: Path-dependent deltas

$$\zeta_j(x_j|x_{j-1}) = \frac{\log(x_j/x_{j-1}) - (r - \sigma^2/2)(t_j - t_{j-1})}{\sigma\sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}}.$$

- Consequently,

$$\frac{\partial \log g}{\partial S_0}(S_{t_1}, \dots, S_{t_n}) = \frac{\partial \log g_1}{\partial S_0}(S_{t_1}|S_0) = \frac{G_1}{S_0\sigma\sqrt{t_1}},$$

where G_1 is the same Gaussian used for the simulation of S_{t_1} .

- Finally, the value of the delta Asian call option using the Log-likelihood ratio method is given by

$$\Delta = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}^* \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{t_i} - K \right)_+ \frac{G_1}{S_0\sigma\sqrt{t_1}} \right).$$